

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,467	09/22/2003	Ben E. Boatwright	0671/8 5520	
75	590 03/08/2006		EXAM	INER
MASON, MASON & ALBRIGHT			SCHATZ, CHRISTOPHER	
2306 South Each	ls Street			
P.O. Box 2246			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22202			1733	

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/665,467	BOATWRIGHT ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Christopher T. Schatz	1733		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 					
Status		•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 De	ecember 2005			
<i>'</i> —		action is non-final.			
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are withdra				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•			
	Claim(s) <u>1-3,11,12 and 16</u> are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requiremen	nt.		
·					
	ion Papers				
·	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Inform	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-3 in the reply filed on December 23, 2005 is acknowledged. Applicant arguments have been considered but are not found persuasive.

Examiner asserts that because the claims in each group involve different classifications, searching both of the groups would impose a search burden on the examiner, thus indicating that restriction is indeed proper. Applicant states that the examiner will always need to search all of the pertinent classifications. Examiner asserts that the because the method as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product as discussed in the restriction, the examiner can properly examine the scope of the method claims without searching any of the subclasses associated with the product claims. Applicant states that claims 1-5 are not limited to edges installed on carpets. While claims 1-3 are not limited to edges on carpets, claims 4 and 5 specifically limit the scope of the claim to an edge of a carpet.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

In addition to the method-product restriction requirement discussed above, examiner has identified independent species to be restricted as a result of applicant's newly added claims

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Group A:

Species A1: drawn to a method of binding an edge of material without stitching

Species A2: drawn to a method of binding an edge of material with stitching

Group B:

Species B1: wherein said welt material is substantially circular in cross-section

Species B2: wherein said welt material is substantially D-shaped in cross-section

The species are independent or distinct because Species A1 is mutually exclusive from species A2, and Species B1 is mutually exclusive from Species B2.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from Group A and single disclosed species from Group B for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 6-10, 13-15, and 17-19 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher T. Schatz whose telephone number is 571-272-1456. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30, Monday -Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,467

Art Unit: 1733

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher T. Schatz

RICHARD CRISPINO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 4